UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/573,792	11/06/2006	Takeaki Saiki	71,051-030	8006
27305 7590 01/11/2008 HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C. THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101			EXAMINER	
			BARTS, SAMUEL A	
	DWARD AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151			. 1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	_		01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/573,792	SAIKI, TAKEAKI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel A. Barts	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the description of the descript	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	

10/573,792 Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bank et al (US 5,449,802) in view of Kleyer et al(US 5,359,111).

The instant claimed invention is directed to a hydrosilylation reaction for making compounds of formula 3 or 4¹ by reacting a compound of formula 1 and formula 2² in the presence of a catalyst and an ether compound having no aliphatic triple bond.

Bank et al disclose a hydrosilylation reaction that encompasses the reactants of the instant claim³. The reaction is done with compounds called accelerators. Bank discloses the use of acetylenic ethers as accelerators. The examiner recognizes that this accelerator is expressly excluded from the instant claims.

Kleyer et al disclose a hydrosilylation reaction that encompasses the reactants of the instant claim⁴. Kleyer discloses a method of controlling the reaction by using an oxygen containing gas. Kleyer states that suitable oxygen containing gases include

¹ See claim 1.

² See claim 1.

³ See columns 1-4 for example.

⁴ See columns 1-5.

10/573,792 Art Unit: 1621

ethers such the allyl and vinyl ethers⁵. The prior art fails to explicitly disclose using the claimed ethers.

Thus the prior art generally teaches the instant claimed hydrosilylation reaction in the presence of ethers. It would have been obvious at the time that applicant's invention was made to have done a hydrosilylation reaction in the presence of ethers with a reasonable expectation of success. Although Kleyer and Bank do not explicitly disclose all the claimed ethers they would be prima facie obvious because a skilled artisan would reasonably expect other oxygen containing gases to be useful for controlling a hydrosilylation reaction. Moreover, a skilled artisan would be motivated to use other ethers based on such factors as availability and cost.

Applicant showing of unexpected results has carefully been considered but found to be unpersuasive. The showing demonstrates superior results when an ether compound is compared with no ether being used. However, the closest prior art uses acetylenic ethers. Applicant has not demonstrated superior results compared to this compound. Also, the prior art suggest the use of allyl and vinyl ethers. No comparison to these ethers has been demonstrated by applicant.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Barts whose telephone number is 571-272-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

⁵ See column 5 lines 36-47.

10/573,792 Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samuel A Barts/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621